

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,771	06/21/2001	Paul S. Bradley	15-769 - 4254	6135
22971 7590 MICROSOFT CO		EXAMINER		
•	GROUP DOCKETING	MAHMOUDI, HASSAN		
ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/886,771	BRADLEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tony Mahmoudi	2165				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period fo	• •	VIOLET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	O) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the provision of the mailing date of the mailing date. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	November 2006.					
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	·				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14,16-26 and 28-31</u> is/are rejection	cted.					
·	Claim(s) 7.15 and 27 is/are objected to.	or election requirement					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi ☐ All _ b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

- In response to communications filed on 07-November-2006, claims 1, 18, and 22 are amended. Claims 1-31 are presently pending in the application, of which, claims 1, 8, 18, and 22 are presented in independent form.
- 2. For the purpose of continued examination of this application, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is known in the art to use methods "similar to methods for identifying frequent itemsets in data", in discrete clustering of data. The Examiner cites the following US Patent Applications in support of the above Official Notice:

Patent/Pub. No.	Issued to	Cited for teaching itemset identification in discrete clustering of data.	
US 2002/0049740 A1	Arning et al.	Paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 26.	
US 2006/0026152 A1	Zeng et al.	Paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 33, and claim 4.	
US 6,138,177 Bayardo		Figures 3 and 5; column 6, lines 10-29; column 9, lines 23-39; column 12, lines 56-65; and column 14, lines 6-35.	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2165

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that said subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-26, and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Favyad et al</u> (PCT Pub No. WO 99/62007) in view of <u>Kothuri et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,470,344 B1), and further in view of Examiner's <u>Official notice</u> (see paragraph 4 of this Office Action for a list of cited references.)

As to claim 1, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> teaches a method for clustering data in a database comprising:

- a) providing a database having a number of data records having both discrete and continuous attributes (see page 7, lines 4-6);
- b) grouping together data records in a clustering model (see Abstract) from the database which have specified discrete attribute configurations (see page 8, lines 5 through page 9, lines 1-13; and see Table 1 and "Cluster Attribute/Value Probability Tables");
- c) clustering data records having the same or similar specified discrete attribute configuration based on the continuous attributes to produce an intermediate set of data clusters (see page 11, line 42 through page 12, line 32); and
- d) merging together clusters from the intermediate set of data clusters to produce a clustering model (see page 14, lines 26-28; and see figures 8A-8D).

<u>Fayyad et al</u> does not teach performing clustering in two phases, over a discrete attribute and using a method for clustering continuous attribute data, wherein the first phase precedes the second phase.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,771

Art Unit: 2165

Kothuri et al teaches buffering hierarchical index of multi-dimensional data (see Abstract), in which he teaches clustering of data in two phases, over a discrete attribute and using a method for clustering continuous attribute data (see column 12, lines 40-54, and see column 14, lines 30-65), wherein the first phase precedes the second phase (it is inherent that in a two-phased clustering, what is known as the "first phase" occurs before the phase known as the "second phase". Therefore, it is inherent that the first phase precedes the second phase.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Fayyad et al</u> by the teaching of <u>Kothuri et al</u>, because including clustering in two phases, over a discrete attribute and using a method for clustering continuous attribute data, wherein the first phase precedes the second phase, would enable the system to store different types of data, based on their attributes, into different clusters or groups (e.g. clustering data with attributes having discrete values, determining the number of positive values, and clustering data with attributes having continuous values (range of values), as taught by <u>Kothuri et al</u> (see column 14, lines 30-65.)

Fayyad et al. as modified still does not teach using an itemset identification.

The Examiner is taking Official Notice that it is known in the art to use methods "similar to methods for identifying frequent itemsets in data", in discrete clustering of data. The Examiner directs the Applicant's attention to the references cited in paragraph 2 of this Office Action in view of the Examiner's Official notice.

As to claims 2, 9, and 23, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the clustering model includes a table of probabilities for the discrete data attributes of the data records for a cluster and wherein the cluster model for continuous data attributes comprises a mean and a covariance for each cluster lines (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, claim 1b).

As to claims 3, 14, and 24, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the process of merging of intermediate clusters is ended when a specified number of clusters has been formed (see <u>Fayyad et al.</u>, page 8, lines 12-14, where "specified number of clusters" is read on "initial cluster number K=3"; and see claim 14, where "specified number of clusters" is read on "K clusters").

As to claims 4 and 25, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the step of merging of intermediate clusters is ended when a distance between intermediate clusters is greater than a specified minimum distance (see <u>Fayyad et al.</u>, page 27, line 12 through page 28, line 26, where "distance between intermediate clusters" is read on "stopping criteria" and "specified minimum distance" is read on "the sum of these two numbers" and "the sum of these numbers").

As to claims 5 and 26, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the discrete attributes are Boolean and similarity between configurations is based on a distance between bit patterns of the discrete attributes (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 33 where "Boolean" and "bit patterns" is read on "0/1 assignments").

Art Unit: 2165

As to claims 6 and 20, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein one or more of the discrete attributes have more than two possible values and comprising the step of subdividing a discrete attribute having more than two possible values into multiple Boolean value attributes (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 33 where "Boolean" and "two possible values" is read on "0/1 assignments").

As to claim 8, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> teaches a method for clustering data in a database comprising:

- a) providing a database having a number of data records having both discrete and continuous attributes (see page 14, line 32 through page 15, line 2);
- b) performing a first discrete cluster and identifying a first set of configurations wherein the number of data records of each configuration of the first set of configurations exceeds a threshold number of data records (see page 15, line 21 through page 16, line 15, where "counting data records" is read on "counting the number of data records" and "exceeds a threshold number of data records" is read on "stopping criteria");
- c) adding data records from the database not belonging to one of the first set of configurations with a configuration within the first set of configurations to produce a subset of records from the database belonging to configurations in the first set of configurations (see page 15, lines 12-18, where "subset of records" is read on "compressed data"); and
- d) clustering the subset of records contained within at least some of the first set of configurations based on the continuous data attributes of records contained within that first

Application/Control Number: 09/886,771

Art Unit: 2165

set of configurations to produce a clustering model (see page 15, lines 19-27, where "continuous data attributes" is read on "ordered attributes").

For the teaching of "performing a first discrete clustering", and "performing a second continuous clustering", the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above in view of the teachings of Kothuri et al as well as the Examiner's Official notice.

As to claim 10, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein an added record not contained within the first set of configurations is added to one of the first set of configurations based on a distance between a smaller configuration to which the added record belongs during counting of records in different configurations (see <u>Fayyad et al.</u>, page 15, line 24-25, where "counting" is read on "M' counting").

As to claims 11 and 28, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the clustering of records from a configuration based on continuous data attributes results in a variable number of clusters for each configuration based on the number of records in the configuration (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 15, lines 19-32, where "continuous data attributes" is read on "ordered attributes"; and where "variable number of clusters" is read on "scalable clustering process").

As to claim 12, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the clustering of records from records falling within a configuration of the first set results in a number of intermediate clusters which are merged together to form the cluster model (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 18, lines

23-31, where "records falling with a configuration" is read on "data points falling within a

Page 8

given cluster").

As to claim 13, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein intermediate clusters are merged together based on a distance between clusters that is determined based on both continuous and discrete attributes of the intermediate clusters (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 4, line 20 through page 5, line 4, where "clusters are merged" is read on "membership of a given record in a particular cluster"; and see page 19, lines 1-7, where "distance between clusters" is read on "sufficiently 'close' to an existing CS subcluster").

As to claims 16 and 29, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein a list of records of each configuration in the first set of configurations is maintained as data records are accessed from the database (see <u>Fayyad et al.</u>, page 8, lines 5 through page 9, lines 1-13; and see Table 1 and "Cluster Attribute/Value Probability Tables").

As to claims 17 and 30, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches where the clustering based on the continuous attributes of records within a configuration is performed using expectation maximization clustering of the continuous attributes (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, page 4, line 20 through page 5, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/886,771

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 18, Fayyad et al. teaches a data processing system comprising:

a) a storage medium for storing a database having a number of data records having both discrete and continuous attributes (see page 7, lines 4-9);

- b) a computer for evaluating data records from the database and building a clustering model that describes data in the database (see page 7, lines 1-5); and
- c) a database management system including a component for selectively retrieving data records from the database for evaluation by the computer (see page 7, lines 9-11, where "retrieving data records" is read on "brings data from the database");

For the teaching of "performing a first discrete clustering", and "performing a second continuous clustering", the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above in view of the teachings of Kothuri et al as well as the Examiner's Official notice.

As to claim 19, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the computer includes a rapid access storage for maintaining a list of data records from the database for data records having a specified discrete attribute configuration to facilitate clustering of the data records based on their continuous attributes (see Fayyad et al, page 5, lines 5-8).

As to claim 21, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches wherein the rapid access storage of the computer includes a data structure for storing a clustering model (see <u>Fayyad et al</u>, figures 8A-8D).

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 22, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> teaches a computer readable medium containing stored instructions for clustering data in a database comprising instructions for (see page 7, lines 1-11):

a) reading records from a database having a number of data records having both discrete and continuous attributes (see page 7, lines 4-11, where "reading records" is read on "brings data from the database");

For the teaching of "performing a first discrete clustering", and "performing a second continuous clustering", the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above in view of the teachings of Kothuri et al as well as the Examiner's Official notice.

As to claim 31, <u>Fayyad et al.</u> as modified, teaches where records are assigned to a single cluster during the expectation maximization clustering process (see <u>Fayyad et al.</u>, page 4, lines 26-31; and see claim 24).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7, 15, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. The Applicant's arguments regarding the previously made rejection under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, the arguments are fully considered and are deemed persuasive. The rejection is therefore, withdrawn.

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 07-November-2006 with respect to the rejected claims in view of the cited references have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive:

Regarding the Applicant's arguments that, "nowhere does Kothuri teach the claimed two phases including a first phase which clusters the data record over a discrete attribute space, and a second phase that then uses a method of clustering continuous attributes using a method for clustering continuous attribute data to produce and intermediate set of data clusters, where the first phase precedes the second phase since it provides an input that is used in the second phase", the arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. As detailed in the rejection of claim 1, Kothuri et al teaches buffering hierarchical index of multi-dimensional data, in which he teaches clustering of data in two phases, over a discrete attribute and using a method for clustering continuous attribute data (see column 12, lines 40-54, and see column 14, lines 30-65.) As to "wherein the first phase precedes the second phase", it is inherent that in a two-phased clustering, what is known as the "first phase" occurs before the phase known as the "second phase". Therefore, it is inherent that the first phase precedes the second phase.

Art Unit: 2165

Regarding the Applicant's comments on the "Finality" of the previous Office Action, the Examiner's intention was to make the previous Office Action "Final", as stated in the conclusion section of the previous Office Action. However, the Examiner *accidentally* marked the wrong check-box in the Office Action Summary sheet as "Non-Final". In view of the Examiner's error and to clear the confusion, the Examiner makes the assumption that the previous Office Action was "Non-Final". The Examiner marks this Office Action as "Final".

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2165

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4078. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (571) 272-4146.

tm

November 16, 2006

JEFFREY GAFFIN
ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TO SHOULD BE SHO